. <u>L</u> .			
``	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/679,705	BECKWITH ET AL.	
Examino, initiated interview Cariniary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u>'.                                    </u>	David Guzo	1636	•
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Isabelle Klaus</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>8 July 2003</u>	Time: <u>3:30</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph (description) rejections			
Claims discussed: All, particularly claims 43, 55-56	•		
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation of all issues.</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the subst of the interview, since the inte	tance
			_
David Sun	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicants he would be taking over prosecution of the application. Potential amendments to claims 43, 55-56 which would put these claims in condition for allowance (pending a final updated search) were discussed. Additional amendments to provide a broader scope to claim 43 were also discussed.